Objective

The objective of the proposed work is to study fundamental and practical aspects of crack formation in electrodeposited thin films. The aim is to identify and quantify the key parameters of the electrodeposition process affecting the crack formation in thin films. This study should enable development of an effective strategy generally applicable in practice whenever electrodeposition process for crack-free films is demanded.

The activities in this period continued towards identifying the effective pulse deposition approach to control and mitigate the stress state of chromium thin films. The chromium films were deposited from Cr$^{3+}$-containing electrolytes (EXDBA 1411 Bath; pH=5) using different pulse functions, and their stress state was compared to the ones deposited with the DC method. In addition to these activities, in this report we show for the first time a comprehensive study of palladium stress evolution during the electrodeposition process and strategies to mitigate its magnitude using a lead UPD monolayer serving as a surfactant and suppressor of the hydrogen evolution reaction.

Experimental approach and results

*Chromium pulse deposition approach for stress control.*

In this period, activities towards identifying an effective pulse current function design were continued (see previous report for prior art). The analytical work based on the diffusion equation solution to estimate the off-time during the pulse current deposition, discussed in the previous report, was abandoned. The reason for this was that the predictability of the mathematical estimates was very dependent on the choice of arbitrary parameters, such as the hydrogen diffusivity in the chromium matrix,
which could not be reliably adapted from the literature. For this reason, a different approach was considered, where the estimate of the off-time was based on the analysis of the stress transients during the relaxation after short periods of DC deposition. This allowed us to study the kinetics of hydride decomposition as the main signature of the tensile stress relaxation and to fit the model to the experimental data to extract the rate constant of the hydride decomposition reaction. This parameter was then used for the design and estimate of the off-time in the pulse current deposition function. The full analytical model used for the analysis of the stress transients during relaxation in solution will be presented in an upcoming publication. In this report, we focus our discussion on presentation of the stress evolution data during the pulse deposition. This data demonstrates clearly that the stress state in the chromium films can be qualitatively changed from compressive to tensile by an effective design of the off-time during the pulse current deposition.

In Fig. 1(a), the stress transients during the DC and pulse current depositions are presented. The duration of the on-time during the pulse function is 1 sec, while the off-time varies from 0 (DC deposition) to 1 sec, (50% duty cycle). The overall duration of the experiment was adjusted so that the ultimate thickness of the chromium films was the same for each set of data. The pulse current used in these experiments was 350 mA/cm². As one can see, DC deposition, and pulse current with very short off-times (97%, 95%, 93%, 91% duty cycle) produce a deposit which has a negative (i.e., compressive) state of stress (F/W). The net stress state for the 91% duty cycle is close to zero, and thus it is considered to represent a stress-free chromium film. For pulse functions that have a lower duty cycle than 91%, the stress state in the chromium film changes to a positive, i.e., tensile state. The final stress state in the chromium films as a function of the off-time duration is shown in Figure 1(b). This data shows a qualitatively different stress state than what has been so far encountered in our studies during the chromium film deposition from the Cr⁺³-based electrolyte (compressive). Moreover, the tensile stress is characteristic of as-deposited chromium films from Cr⁺⁶ baths, and these results are of significant value. It seems that the sufficient rest time in the pulse function cycle, which allows the total breakup of the hydride phase in the grown layer, produces chromium films with the positive stress state. This indicates that the grain zipping phenomenon is dominant and is the stress-determining process as compared to hydride formation. Therefore, we expect that the higher positive stress state in the chromium films, such as those produced by a 50% duty cycle, indicates the low amount of trapped Cr-hydride. These films are expected to have much lower propensity for cracking during a post-deposition aging and annealing treatment. These evaluations are currently underway and this data will be presented in the next report.

![Figure 1](image-url) - (a) Force per width transients for chromium films deposited with a pulse current of 350 mA/cm² and on-time = 1 sec and different values of off-times; (b) Force per width vs. off-time for chromium for transients shown in (a).

**Palladium deposition – effective approach and solution design**

The most critical issue with the electrodeposition of palladium films is crack formation as a consequence of hydrogen embrittlement¹. This problem could be easily circumvented if palladium deposition was conducted at potentials more positive than the hydrogen reversible potential. However, in practice, the choice and stability of substrates often require that the electrodeposition potential is within the hydrogen evolution reaction region (HER). At these potentials, hydrogen absorption into...
palladium is facile and palladium easily forms hydrides; α-Pd-hydride and β-Pd-hydride. One of the characteristic observations associated with hydrogen absorption into palladium during electrodeposition is a large compressive stress build-up as opposed to tensile stress typically observed during deposition of transition metals. α-Pd-hydride (H/Pd < 0.03) has a slightly larger lattice than palladium (3.889 vs. 3.893 Å) and predominantly forms at potentials where a low overvoltage for HER exists. In this case palladium films do show low stress, and generally these conditions produce crack-free palladium films. However, if the overvoltage for HER is large, both hydrides can form with increasing predominance of β-Pd-hydride at larger overvoltages for HER. β-Pd-hydride (H/Pd > 0.56) has a much larger lattice constant than palladium (3.899 vs. 4.04 Å), and as it forms during the palladium deposition, it exerts a large compressive stress on the palladium film matrix. This hydride is unstable and, upon termination of the deposition process, transforms into α-Pd-hydride, releasing a large quantity of hydrogen and generating a huge tensile relaxation (aging stress). This leads to palladium film cracking.

The work and results presented here focus on developing a new approach to electrochemical synthesis of crack-free palladium films. In this approach, lead (Pb) underpotentially deposited (UPD) on the palladium surface, with monolayer or submonolayer coverage, serves as a mediator, surfactant and hydrogen evolution suppressor. The general approach for solution design is that the lead ion concentration is always equal to or larger than the palladium ion concentration (Pd²⁺ or Pd⁴⁺). This means that the lead UPD process will always be faster than palladium deposition, ensuring that the palladium surface is instantly covered by a lead layer as soon as the new palladium nucleus is formed. Several solutions were examined and the results for two of them are presented here.

Solution #1: 10⁻³M Pd²⁺ + 10⁻³M H⁺.

To explore the stress state of a palladium film grown with various applied potentials, the stress (force/width) of the film during multi-step potentiostatic deposition was recorded. In this measurement, the deposition potential was changed from +0.6 to -0.6 V with intervals of 0.1 V, sequentially after 40 sec deposition at each potential.

As shown in Fig. 2, the film experiences tensile stress at potentials more positive than -0.2 V. The tensile stress in the film can be associated with the formation and merging of palladium grains (grain boundary zipping). However, at potentials more negative than -0.2 V, the film exhibits compression. These potentials are close to the calculated reversible potential¹ for proton reduction (HER: -0.36 V). Exceeding the HER potential, the film undergoes a significant compression, which suggests that Pd-H forms at potentials below -0.2 V.

Figure 2 - Force/width data of multi-step potentiostatic depositions for solution #1. The black and red plots show the potential and F/w transients, respectively. The first applied potential is +0.6 V and with potential drops by 0.1 V at intervals of 40 sec.

¹ Calculation based on the Nernst Equation.
Multi-step deposition qualitatively gave us the stress state of the palladium film grown at various potentials. However, presence of the residual stress from deposition in a previous potential step and also the short deposition time at each potential made quantitative comparison of the stress state of the films as a function of applied potentials impossible. For the quantitative comparison, we potentiostatically deposited palladium films at each potential on a stress-free cantilever for a constant amount of time (150 sec). To make the cantilever stress-free, after deposition in each potential, the grown film was stripped by applying a high enough positive potential (0.8-0.9 V_Ag/AgCl). To ensure completion of the stripping we followed three steps:

- Monitoring the stripping current to the reach minimum/steady state,
- Monitoring the F/w data during the stripping to reach the $d(F/w)/dt = 0$,
- Visual examination of the cantilever in the solution.

As an example, Fig. 3 shows the F/w transient of a film deposited at 0.0 V for 150 sec followed by stripping at +0.8 V. During the deposition time, the film developed a tensile stress, which was removed through the film stripping process.

**Figure 3** – F/w transient during 150-sec deposition at $E = 0.0$ V and the film stripping at $E = +0.8$ V. The black and red plots represent potential and F/w, respectively.

**Figure 4** – Stress state of films deposited with various potentials from solution #1. F/w transients of the films deposited with potentials in range of (a) +0.5 to -0.2 V and (b) -0.2 to -0.5 V; (c) values of F/w at 150 sec (last moment of deposition) for various potentials; (d) comparison of final values of F/w for two sets of measurements.
Once the stripping was completed, we applied the next potential with no change in the position of the cell and cantilevers. Figs. 4(a) and (b) show the F/w transients of films deposited at various potentials. Figure 4(c) compares the F/w values at those potentials after 150 sec of deposition. To reproduce the data, we ran the entire set of experiments twice. Figure 4(d) shows the two sets to be in remarkable agreement. As one can see from Fig. 4 and the previous multi-step measurement (Fig. 2), the film exhibits compression at a potential below -0.2 V (orange strip highlighted in Fig 4(d)). Considering the calculated reversible potential of hydrogen (-0.36 V), HER, as the source of hydrogen for Pd-H formation, it would not be expected between -0.2 and -0.36 V. This expectation is considered along with the data of the current (i) transient for the potentiostatic depositions (Fig. 5). The sudden jump of the current value observed at -0.4 V implies that the overpotential of HER is exceeded after -0.35 V. Accordingly, a slight compression below -0.2 V can be related to the formation of hydrogen UPD on the surface of the depositing palladium. Based on our previous measurements, hydrogen UPD on the palladium surface begins at 0.15-0.18 V (vs. 2H+/H2). This means that between -0.2 to -0.36 V, a (sub)monolayer of hydrogen adsorbs on the surface. The system is dynamic and continuous deposition of palladium brings fresh palladium on top. Therefore, additional hydrogen would sit on this surface and absorption of hydrogen at a potential more positive than the reversible potential of hydrogen occurs continuously.

Exceeding the overpotential for HER, however, provides a significant amount of hydrogen and drive for Pd-hydride formation. This is shown in Fig. 4, where a high surface concentration of hydrogen due to HER causes a huge compression in the film. This originates from two phenomena: first, with more hydrogen, more Pd-H forms and incorporates in the palladium matrix. Second, at a high concentration of hydrogen, Pd-H forms beta phase (β-PdHx) with a lattice constant of 4.03 Å. This value is much larger than that of pure palladium, which puts the palladium matrix in even more compression.

Solution #2: 10⁻³M Pd⁺² + 10⁻³M H⁺ + 10⁻³M Pb⁺².

Lead is known to suppress hydrogen evolution and it is generally known as a catalyst poison for HER. This means that a monolayer of lead UPD over a growing palladium film can serve the purpose of HER suppression. To find the potential for formation of a lead UPD layer on a growing palladium film, we employed our electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance (EQCM) system. The EQCM enabled us to measure the mass change of the deposit during cyclic voltammetry (CV). In this system, a gold coated quartz crystal oscillates at 6 MHz frequency. Through the deposition, the crystal becomes heavier and therefore the frequency drops accordingly. From the change in frequency, the mass of deposit can be calculated. This system is able to measure mass change in the range of nanograms. Figure 6 shows the main part of our EQCM set-up.

Figure 7 shows the simultaneous plots of current response and mass change of the palladium film during the potential sweep (cyclic voltammogram). The large deposition and stripping peaks are mainly due to the deposition of palladium. Below 0.0 V, a weak cathodic peak is apparent. This peak is in the underpotential region of both lead and hydrogen. A closer look at the frequency change before and after the peak is done to reveal the origin of the peak. Based on our previous measurements, a
monolayer of lead changes the frequency by an order of 20 Hz. However, this peak does not affect the frequency value. This implies that the peak originates from hydrogen UPD, where the mass change is negligible and below the sensitivity of our EQCM. Based on this measurement, we were not able to distinguish any peak for lead UPD.

Figure 6 – EQCM set-up configuration: (a) gold-coated quartz crystal and its position in the EQCM cell (3 ml) and (b) EQCM cell as connected to the oscillator.

Figure 7 – Cyclic voltammogram of solution #2 and the frequency change obtained during EQCM experiments: (a) magnified detail of part of the voltammogram in (b).

On the other hand, elemental analysis of the films deposited at various potentials shows the presence of lead even at a +0.3 V deposition potential. This means that lead is codeposited with palladium starting at > 0.7 V underpotential (Fig. 8). Lead-palladium underpotential codeposition has not been reported in the literature and needs detailed study.

Figure 8 shows a sudden increase in the atomic percentage of lead in the deposit at -0.5 V (from 25% to 60%). This jump originates from the fact that -0.5 V sits below the reversible potential of lead (-0.42 V) where overpotential deposition (OPD) of lead occurs. Current transient data (Fig. 9) also indicates that a major increase in the current occurs below -0.4 V where OPD of lead starts.

We also performed in-situ stress measurements with the same procedure as used for solution #1 to investigate the effect of
lead on the stress in the palladium film. The addition of 0.001M Pb$^{+2}$ in the solution significantly reduces the compression in palladium film.

Figure 9 - (a) Current transient of the films deposited from solution #2 on the cantilever at various potentials; (b) the value of the current at the last moment of deposition (150 sec).

Figure 10 shows the multi-step deposition of the palladium film deposited from solution #2. The net stress of the film at even high overpotential for reduction of proton is tensile. This means that presence of the lead in the film clearly suppresses the Pd-H formation and changes the sign of the stress in the palladium films. This is the proof of the concept for our approach that lead UPD on growing palladium can be used to suppress HER and Pd-hydride formation and form palladium films with tensile stress at potentials more negative than HER. However, the presence of lead in the palladium films, and the newly discovered phenomenon of underpotential Pb-Pd co-deposition requires that this system be investigated in more detail.

Figure 11 shows stress measurement data of the palladium films deposited from solution #2 at various potentials. We have done two sets of measurements with 150 and 300 sec deposition, Figs. 11(a, b and c). Figure 11(c) compares the stress values of these two measurements at the very end of the deposition experiments. The data shows a very good match, since the F/w values of the films over the deposition-time have already reached a steady state before 150 sec. Figure 11(d) compares the stress data of solution #2 with that of solution #1. Clearly, the addition of lead in the solution dramatically reduces the compression even at the high overpotential for HER, where the palladium films experience only a slight compression. Now, the question is how the presence of a larger quantity of lead ions in the system will change the elemental composition and stress-state of the film, i.e., what is the optimum solution design in terms of lead ion content. These questions are the topic of our current research towards finding the optimum solution design which will lead to low stress palladium films with a minimum content of lead deposited at large overpotentials for HER.

Figure 11 shows stress measurement data of the palladium films deposited from solution #2 at various potentials. We have done two sets of measurements with 150 and 300 sec deposition, Figs. 11(a, b and c). Figure 11(c) compares the stress values of these two measurements at the very end of the deposition experiments. The data shows a very good match, since the F/w values of the films over the deposition-time have already reached a steady state before 150 sec. Figure 11(d) compares the stress data of solution #2 with that of solution #1. Clearly, the addition of lead in the solution dramatically reduces the compression even at the high overpotential for HER, where the palladium films experience only a slight compression. Now, the question is how the presence of a larger quantity of lead ions in the system will change the elemental composition and stress-state of the film, i.e., what is the optimum solution design in terms of lead ion content. These questions are the topic of our current research towards finding the optimum solution design which will lead to low stress palladium films with a minimum content of lead deposited at large overpotentials for HER.
Figure 11 - Stress state of films deposited at various potentials from solution #2: F/w transients of the films deposited with potentials in range of (a) +0.5 to 0.0 V and (b) -0.1 to -0.6 V; (c) comparison of the final values of F/w for the two sets of measurements with 150 and 300 sec of deposition; (d) comparison of the F/w values as a function of deposition potentials for solutions #1 and #2.

Conclusion

In this period, significant progress in understanding the stress control in chromium deposit has been made. Finally, we can say that we do control the stress state and stress sign in chromium films at will by choosing the appropriate pulse deposition function. The question remains as to which stress state, very tensile or close to zero, is desirable when the mechanical properties and structure of chromium films are evaluated. These measurements are currently under way and will be reported soon. The progress in understanding the stress and stress control in palladium films is the new topic of our research which has been introduced in this report. The idea of using lead as an HER and Pd-hydride suppressor seems to yield positive results. However, complications do exist, due to the existence of Pb-Pd underpotential co-deposition, which is a phenomenon unreported until now. This fundamental effect renders a lead UPD monolayer approach, yielding palladium films with some lead content which might limit their application even if the stress state in palladium films is desired and ensures their structural integrity. We are currently exploring work on an optimum solution design which will yield palladium films with minimum lead content and an acceptable level of compressive stress.
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